EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: It is not Gaza’s economic malaise that has precipitated Palestinian violence. It is the other way around: the endemic violence has caused the Strip’s humanitarian crisis. So long as Gaza continues to be governed by Hamas’s rule of the jungle, no Palestinian civil society, let alone a viable state, can develop.

No cliché has dominated the discourse on the Gaza situation more than the perception of Palestinian violence as a corollary of the Strip’s dire economic condition. No sooner had Hamas and Israel been locked in yet another armed confrontation over the past weeks than the media, foreign policy experts, and politicians throughout the world urged the immediate rehabilitation of Gaza as panacea to its endemic propensity for violence. Even senior members of the Israel Defense Forces opined that a “nonmilitary process” of humanitarian aid could produce a major change in the Gaza situation.

While there is no denying the argument’s widespread appeal, there is also no way around the fact that it is not only completely unfounded but the inverse of the truth. For it is not Gaza’s economic malaise that has precipitated Palestinian violence; rather, it is the endemic violence that has caused the Strip’s humanitarian crisis.

For one thing, countless nations and groups in today’s world endure far harsher socioeconomic or political conditions than the Palestinians, yet none has embraced violence and terrorism against their neighbors with such alacrity and on such a massive scale.

For another thing, there is no causal relationship between economic hardship and mass violence. On the contrary: in the modern world it is not the poor and oppressed who have carried out the worst acts of terrorism and violence, but
rather the militant vanguards from among the better educated and more
moneyed circles of society – be they homegrown terrorist groups in the West
or their Middle Eastern counterparts.

Yasser Arafat, for instance, was an engineer, and his fellow arch terrorist
George Habash – the pioneer of aircraft hijacking – a physician. Hassan al-
Banna, founder of the Muslim Brotherhood, was a schoolteacher, while his
successor, Sayyid Qutb, whose zealous brand of Islam fired generations of
terrorists, including the group behind the assassination of Egyptian president
Anwar Sadat, was a literary critic and essayist. The 9/11 terrorists and certainly
their multimillionaire paymaster, Osama bin Laden, as well as the terrorists
who massacred their British compatriots in July 2005 and those slaughtering
their coreligionists in Algeria and Iraq, were not impoverished peasants or
workers driven by hopelessness and desperation but educated fanatics
motivated by hatred and extreme religious and political ideals.

Nor has Hamas been an exception to this rule. Not only has its leadership been
highly educated, but it has gone to great lengths to educate its followers,
notably through the takeover of the Islamic University in Gaza and its
transformation into a hothouse for indoctrinating generations of militants and
terrorists. Hamas founder Sheikh Ahmed Yassin studied at the al-
Azhar University in Cairo, probably the Islamic world’s most prestigious
institution of higher religious learning, while his successor, Abdel Aziz Rantisi, was a
physician, as is Hamas cofounder Mahmoud Zahar. The group’s current leader,
Ismail Haniyeh, and Muhammad Def, head of Hamas’s military wing, are
graduates of the Islamic University of Gaza, while Khaled Mashal studied
physics in Kuwait, where he resided until 1990. Hardly the products of
depression and despair.

This propensity for violence among the educated and moneyed classes of
Palestinian society was starkly reflected in the identity of the 156 men and eight
women who detonated themselves in Israel’s towns and cities during the first
five years of the “al-Aqsa Intifada,” murdering 525 people, the overwhelming
majority of them civilians. A mere 9% of the perpetrators had only a basic
education, while 22% were university graduates and 34% were high school
graduates. Likewise, a comprehensive study of Hamas and Islamic Jihad
suicide terrorists from the late 1980s to 2003 found that only 13% came from a
poor background, compared with 32% of the Palestinian population in general.
More than half of suicide bombers had entered further education compared
with just 15% of the general population.

By contrast, successive public opinion polls among the Palestinian residents of
the West Bank and the Gaza Strip during the 1990s revealed far stronger
support for the nascent peace process with Israel, and opposition to terrorism, among the poorer and less educated parts of society – representing the vast majority of the population. Thus, for example, 82% of people with a low education supported the Interim Agreement of September 1995, providing for Israel’s withdrawal from the populated Palestinian areas of the West Bank, and 80% opposed terrorist attacks against Israeli civilians, compared to 55% and 65%, respectively, among university graduates.

In short, it is not socioeconomic despair but the total rejection of Israel’s right to exist, inculcated by the PLO and Hamas in their hapless West Bank and Gaza subjects over the past 25 years, which underlies the relentless anti-Israel violence emanating from these territories and its attendant economic stagnation and decline.

At the time of the September 1993 signing of the Israel-PLO Declaration of Principles, conditions in the territories were far better than in most Arab states – despite the steep economic decline caused by the intifada of 1987-93. But within six months of Arafat’s arrival in Gaza in July 1994, the standard of living in the Strip fell by 25%, and more than half the area’s residents claimed to have been happier under Israel. Even so, at the time Arafat launched his war of terrorism in September 2000, Palestinian income per capita was nearly double Syria’s, more than four times Yemen’s, and 10% higher than Jordan’s – one of the better-off Arab states. Only the oil-rich Gulf states and Lebanon were more affluent.

By the time of Arafat’s death in November 2004, his terrorism war had slashed this income to a fraction of its earlier levels, with real GDP per capita some 35% below the pre-September 2000 level, unemployment more than doubling, and numerous Palestinians reduced to poverty and despondency. And while Israel’s suppression of the terrorism war generated a steady recovery, with the years 2007-11 even recording an average yearly growth above 8%, by mid-2014 a fully blown recession had taken hold, especially in the Gaza Strip.

Indeed, apart from reflecting the West Bank’s basic socioeconomic superiority vis-à-vis Gaza, the widening gap between the two areas during the Oslo years (the difference in per capita income shot up from 14% to 141%) was a direct corollary of Hamas’s transformation of the Strip into an unreconstructed terrorism entity, in contrast to the West Bank’s relative tranquility in the post-al-Aqsa Intifada years.

This, in turn, means that so long as Gaza continues to be governed by Hamas’s rule of the jungle, no Palestinian civil society, let alone a viable state, can develop. The creation of free and democratic societies in Germany and Japan
after World War II necessitated a comprehensive sociopolitical and educational transformation. In the Gazan case as well, it will be only when the population sweeps its oppressive rulers from power, eradicates endemic violence from political and social life, and teaches the virtues of coexistence with Israel that the Strip can look forward to a better future.

This article appeared in The Jerusalem Post on June 4, 2018.
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